

File No. 165 of 05
Serial Nos. 1 to 7

1906-7

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Dep. Secy)

Part A: Manuscript
for July 1906

Serial Nos.

Recognising the Zamindar's claim
to the ~~Revenue~~ Revenue of Rayam-
putta Holahalli Kanhanalli

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

NOTES

File. No 165-a

FILE HEADING.

Claim to the land
to the present village,
Kankhalli Taluk

FROM

Kankhalli Taluk

No. 104 Dated 1/5/06 Received 5/5/06

Diary No. ~~104~~ / Enclos. / Spare Copies. / Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Complaint of the delay in the settlement of
is claim to the land, present of the land. Complainant
to submit the proceeding of the land. in the above
village. & requests early orders concerning the
claim & submitting the proceeding of the land.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Order with a draft

14/5/06
B.K.

Issue under 10 m. n. Kankhalli Taluk
18-5-06

2 To the Comr. No 78017 & 21/5/06
forwards the above for information

Serial
No.

and return and requests the early submission of the record of Enquiry relating to the claim.

- 2) From the Smease No. 1 - No. 543 & No. 26-5 of, submits the record of Enquiry.

The facts of the case are as follows

10/3/1880

The Inam village of Solahalli in the Kankanhalli was granted in 1830 by Fozdar Mummaharajji Rao on Karametta tenure under the general orders of H. H. the late Maharaja, of the 8th December 1829 authorizing the grant on Karametta tenure of Govt. villages to revenue persons. The Karametta being calculated according to the heris account the very account of the village in question included Sindi & Malis. & so the was conceded in specific terms in the grantee.

2) During the Inam Settlement held in 1878. it was recorded that Todd was in the Government of the Karametta but abkan was reserved in Govt. In the title deed issued by the Inam Deptt. Todd was conceded.

3) The Investigating officer refers to the original sanctioned & the title deed confers the whole of the Smease Revenue to the Karametta.

Serial
No.

4) The Zauandar appears to have made out a clear case for the whole of the Zauandar Revenue: a) So far back as 1861. Major Clerk the Smt. of the Ashtaframa Division, recognized the Zauandar claim to the Zauandar Rev. & the Village on the basis of the Samad & on long enjoyment & want to keep a shik in the village to vend toddy in the village.

b) In his reference no: 357 of the 22.11.1888 the Smt. & the Zauandar settlements held ~~the~~ notwithstanding the reservation of ~~the~~ at the Zauandar settlements the Zauandar was ~~more~~ in such enjoyment of the village.

c) The Zauandar has produced the following documents in evidence to show & actually enjoyed the same since 1840 to the present:

- i) A Mutchalikha of 1840.
- ii) 1861
- iii) 1864
- iv) 867
- v) 1872
- vi) 1876 and
- vii) 1878

5) Thus in the foregoing circumstances the Zauandar is entitled to the Zauandar Rev. of the village, i) under the Samad, ii) under the terms of the settlements (i.e. i) & ii)

Seria.
No.

(c) The Inaudar further prays for permission to
reopen the toddy shop said to have been
closed in 1879-80 during the great famine, ~~in~~
~~when the~~ village became depopulated. The village
has now 25 souls according to the latest
census. ~~one or two~~ ^{think} ~~was not seen~~ it
desirable in the interests of Govt. Rev. as also
on the ground that there would be a superfluity
of toddy shops in the locality. The Inaudar
submits the opinion of the Inaudar. Under
rule 18 of G.O. No. 2074-83 of the 28. 12. 1901, this
is referred for such orders of Govt. as they
may deem fit to pass.
Submitted with a draft sanctioning the
Inaudar's recommendation.

K.S.
5/6/06.
N.K.

Draft Inaudar's
m. n. 23/6/06

K.S. Chandrasekhar
23/6/06

The draft may be
approved J. A. R.
23/6/06

Approved by Inaudar
K.S. Chandrasekhar
23/6/06

1254
4-7-06

Approved by Inaudar

CSB also recommended
24/7/06

G.R.S. 121

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

80X · File No. 165 of 1905

Serial
No.

To the Exche. Comr.

Comptroller.

No. 72-1039-40-8-27-7-06

Approval of the Exche. Comr. Recommendation

Am II

6 ಅರಸನು ಸಹಸ್ರದಿನಾಂಕವಾಗಿ... 23-3
... 847

[illegible][illegible]

1-5-906 }

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ (ಬೋರ್ಡ್) ಅಧೀನದಲ್ಲಿ

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Office Memorandum.

No. 76 8017 Dated Bangalore, the 21-5-1906
5th 465-05-2

Subject.

Ready Settlement of
the claim to the toddy
revenue of the village
Looking for permission
to be given the toddy
shop in the village

The accompanying Petition* Registered

No. 4644 dated the 18th May 1906
received in this office from Venkata -
Marasappa, Koyanguthe -
Dollahalli village.
in the Kankhalli Taluk.

request is forwarded to the Excise Commr in Mysore with the request that the record of enquiry relating to the claim may be submitted to Govt. with an appropriate opinion particulars on the petitioner's request for permission to be given the toddy shop. The petition also may be resubmitted with the record of enquiry.

By Order,

M. M. K. 18-5-06
For SECRETARY.

Three
14/5/06 MK
GPB-1,000-23-11-05.

copied
12/5

Slr 165-0581 (3) 8 R 5
D5053

II
Order
29/5
No. 2938
1 file
OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.
DATED BANGALORE, 26th May 1906.

From

P. N. Purnanya Esquire B.A.

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

B. K. Venkata Karamudra Esquire

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the
record of enquiry relating to the claim
of the Inam dar to the toddy Excise Revenue
of the Solahalli Village Rankannur Taluk
and to state that the Village is now
populated It has toddy trees but not
a shop

2. The Village which is Kargungutta
was alie - to in 1850 A.D. the Murab
of the Towndar of Bangalore. Copy of
which is in the file would show that

Tendi

26/
Sendi (toddy) Revenue - is included in
the Buz of the Village.

3. The Inamdar says that there was
a toddy shop in the Village but it was
closed when the Village became depopulated. (2).
He has produced a copy of order no
23 dated 20th June 1860 from the Superintendent
of the late Bangalore Division, and it
proves the existence of a toddy shop in
the Village.

4. In the Inam Settlement, "rights to Sayer,
abkari and forests are reserved" though
it is admitted that toddy has been enjoyed
by the Inamdar.

5. The Inamdar has also produced vouchers
to show that he has been enjoying the
toddy Revenue from 1841, and the Inam
Superintendent in his no 357 dated 20th
November 1888 to the Amildar of Kanakalli
states that the Inamdar is entitled to toddy
Revenue

6. Under the above circumstances I agree with the concurrent finding of the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore and the Revenue Assistant Commissioner Mysore that the Mandar is entitled to today's excise revenue. He will get revenue from grove farm during 1892-3 to 1896-7 under Rule 2 and Kump Sum grant under Rule 13 of Government Order no $\frac{2074-83}{R. 7. 166-92}$ dated 2nd August 1901.

* herewith returned 7. In his petition to Government (received into your memorandum no $\frac{728017}{S. R. 165-05-2}$ dated 21st instant) the Mandar Venkata Narasappa issues for permission to re-d... the today's shop. But the Deputy Commissioner has also rejected the application on account of the superfluity of shops and I see no reason to differ from him. The village itself has a very small population.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

K. Gopal Rao

Deputy Commissioner

1905—1906.

From

P. H. Purnaiya Esq. B.A.

Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

To

B. K. Venkata Vasa Srinivas Esq

Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 26th May 1906

Despatched

Received

No. 593

Enclosure No. 1 file

SUBJECT.

Submitting the record of
enquiry relating the claim
of the Inamdar of the Dolahat
Village, Kankanhalli Taluk
to the Tally Excise Revenue
the Village.

Finding

I. i Sainas has not been produced; but the Inamdar however produced the title deed

ii. The right of the Inamdar to toddy revenue has been recognised in the Inam Settlement Register (See & herewith attached)

iii. There is no shop at present in the village but the Inamdar asserts that there existed a shop in bygone days & it was closed as the village became depopulated. The present population of the village as per census of 1901 is only 25. There are about 50 mature trees in the village.

iv. The Inamdar have been enjoying the toddy revenue from the very beginning & the concession has been recognised in the Inam Dept. The village was granted by Fuzdar Linga Raj to the Inamdar's father in the year 1830

The Inam is entitled to toddy revenue in case laws under paras 2 & 13 of Govt O. No 2074-83/R. 166-92 & 2-8-1901 there being no shop in the village but only trees.

II The Inam is entitled to the following amount

(a) From 1892-93 down to 96-97

Grove Farm. Agri (exclusive & cess)

1892-93 —	30 — 0 — 0
93-94 —	60 — 0 — 0
94-95 —	— nil —
95-96 —	63 — 0 — 0

Total 153 — 0 — 0

Deduct 6 p.c. for Estt charges. 9 — 2 — 10

net 143 — 13 — 2

(b) 1897-98 — nil —

(c) From 1898-99 to 1903-04

1898-99 —	Lump sum —	6 — 15 — 8
99-1900 —	do —	6 — 15 — 8
00-01 —	do —	6 — 15 — 8
01-02 —	do —	6 — 15 — 8
02-03 —	do —	6 — 15 — 8
03-04 —	do —	6 — 15 — 8

Total Rs 41-14-0

Grand Total of (a) (b) + (c) — 188-11-2

Deduct amount previously

advanced to the Inamdar	} 219-4-10
on July 97 — 116-10-0	
July 99 — 102-10-10	

As the amount of the previous advances exceeds the amount now awarded the net amount recoverable from the landlord is Rs 33-9-0.

Note In calculating the lump sum grant, the average excise revenue from 1880 to the population of the village as per census of 1901 have been taken into consideration.

No. 111.	Population of Saluk including population of Inam village	Population of Inam village	Average excise revenue from 1880	Lump sum amount payable to the Inam
Applying Rule of proportion	86552	25	23331-11-6	Ros 2

1/2 The grounds of decision are as follows

- (1) Excise Accounts & Registers
- (2) The admission of the right in the Inam settlement described in the title deed

10-10-05.

(3) Anand Kaur
Asst Commr
Closepet Range

Copy

At calendar of a claim to today revenue enquired into by the Assistant Commissioner,

Chasepet Range, Bangalore District

Case of of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea
Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea
Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea
Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea
Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea	Case of Held of plea

Kan Kanhalli

Tungam

Dolhalli

Venkatanarayanachari
(Son of Venkannachari)

Inam title deed 1st.
Extract of Inam Settlement Register

Nil

Inam title deed concedes the
right to hold the revenue to
Koyamgutta. The same is
expressly stated in the extract of
Inam Settlement Register

The claim is therefore
allowed as the Inamholders have
been in the enjoyment of
holdings from the very
commencement

Amount received from the Inamholders	54	33	9	8
---	----	----	---	---

1. Precise Account & Register
2. The admission of the plot in
the Inam Settlement Register
& in the title-deed

10-10-05

(S) Shandaram
Asst Commr. Chasepet Range

3/
Kayanputta tenure under the
General orders of H. H. the late Maharaja
Krishna Raja Wodeyar Bahadur
issued on the 8th of Decr 1829,
authorizing the Talukdar to grant,
according to their being accounts,
Sirkar villages on Kayanputta
tenure. The item of Sendi
was included in the list of the
village in question & this is proof
of its alienation to the grantee.

2). In 1860, Major Clerk the Suptt
of Ashbagam mission, recognizes
the Zamindar's claim to the Sene
Rev. of the village on the basis of
the Saamad & of long enjoyment
in permitting him to continue
to keep a shop in the village
to vend toddy.

3) At the Sene Settlement held
in 1878, the Asst. to the Sene
Suptt. recorded ^{a finding} that toddy
which was alienated by the
Saamad. was in the Sene

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

(114)

of the Luandar, but the then
Inam Deptt. for reasons not on
record, reserved to itself the item
of Alkari. This reservation is
inconsistent with the Saemad
granting the village when the matter
was referred to him in 1888, the
Inam Deptt. gave it as his opinion
that this village was entitled to
Smeise Rev. and in accordance
with this opinion Solhalla is
included in the list of villages
entitled to Saddy Rev. prepared by
the Inam Deptt.

4) The Luandar has produced
evidence of enjoyment of this item
of revenue from 1840 to 1878. Subsequent
to the year 1878-9 the village it is
stated had no population. It is
however now populated and accor-
ding to the latest Census, it contains
25 souls. The Luandar claims
not only the Smeise revenue of
the village but also the privilege
opening a shop therein.

5) Sh. Smeiso has recommended
the recognition of the Luandar's
claim to the Smeise revenue of the
village and states that as the

✓
we already a sufficient number of
shops in the neighbourhood of the
village, it is unnecessary to get
one in the latter.

Under the circumstances, you
are pleased to approve of the Saeed
Bawa's recommendation. The
case falls under paras 2+13 of
the rules appended to G.O. No.
2074-83 dated 2.8.1981.
R.7166-92

Secretary. Print.
The Saeed Bawa with Enclosures.
- Comptroller.
N.B. A copy of the balance sheet & memo of
the District Officer to be kept in the file
K.S. 12.
ms ✓

25/7

116

1906-07

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL
(SEPARATE REVENUE)

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 27th July 1906

No. Fl. 1039-40
S. R. 165-05-4

Recognising the Inamdar's claim to
the Excise revenue of Kayamgutta Dola-
halli in the Kankanhalli Taluk.

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial (Separate Revenue), dated 27th July 1906.*

READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 593, dated the 26th May 1906, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the claim of the Kayamguttadar of Dolahalli in the Kankanhalli Taluk to the Excise revenue of the village.

No. FL. 1039-40—S. R. 165-05-4, DATED BANGALORE, 27TH JULY 1906.

ORDER THEREON.—The Inam village of Dolahalli in the Kankanhalli Taluk was granted in 1830 by Fouzdar Thimmapparaja Urs on kayamgutta tenure, under the general orders of His Highness the late Maharaja Krishna Raja Wodeyar Bahadur, issued on the 8th of December 1829, authorising the Fouzdar to grant according to their beriz accounts, Sirkar villages on kayamgutta tenure. The item of Sendi was included in the beriz of the village in question and this is proof of its alienation to the grantee.

2. In 1860, Major Clerk, the Superintendent of Ashtagram Division, recognised the Inamdar's claim to the Excise revenues of the village on the basis of the sannad and of long enjoyment, in permitting him to continue to keep a shop in the village to vend toddy.

3. At the Inam Settlement held in 1878, the Assistant to the Inam Superintendent recorded a finding that toddy which was alienated by the sannad, was in the enjoyment of the Inamdar, but the then Inam Superintendent, for reasons not on record, reserved to Government the item of Abkari. This reservation is inconsistent with the sannad granting the village, and when the matter was referred to him in 1888, the Inam Superintendent gave it as his opinion that this village was entitled to Excise revenue, and in accordance with this opinion Dolahalli is included in the list of villages entitled to toddy revenue prepared by the Inam Department.

4. The Inamdar has produced evidence of enjoyment of this item of revenue from 1840 to 1878. Subsequent to the year 1878-79, the village, it is stated, had no population. It is however now populated, and according to the latest census, it contains 25 souls. The Inamdar claims not only the Excise revenue of the village but also the privilege of opening a shop therein.

5. The Excise Commissioner recommends the recognition of the Inamdar's claim to the Excise revenue of the village, and states that as there are already a sufficient number of shops in the neighbourhood of the village, it is unnecessary to open one in the latter.

6. Under the circumstances, Government are pleased to approve of the Excise Commissioner's recommendation. The case falls under paras 2 and 13 of the rules appended to Government Order No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated the 2nd August 1901.

K. S. CHANDRASEKHARA AIYAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, with enclosures.
The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja.

Exd.—c, v.